

Minutes

Meeting of : Northern Area Committee
Meeting held in : Antrobus House, Amesbury
Date : Thursday 18 May 2006
Commencing at : 4.30 pm

Present:

Councillor M A Hewitt – Chairman
Councillor J Rodell – Vice-Chairman for the meeting

Councillors J A Brady, Mrs J M Greville, J C Noeken, A G Peach, I C West, F Westmoreland, T Woodbridge and K C Wren.

Apologies: Councillors M Baker, D W Brown, C G Mills and J R G Spencer.

Parish Councillors: Mr Stubbs (Newton Toney), Mr Langford (Durnford), Mrs G Heyler (Steeple Langford), Mr Stoddard (Cholderton Parish Meeting)

Officers

Mr A Madge (Development Services), Mr S Llewellyn (Development Services), Ms C Westlake (Assistant Partnership Manager – South Wiltshire Strategic Alliance), Mr J Crawford (Legal and Property Services), and Ms S Draper (Democratic Services).

545 Election of Vice Chairman

Resolved – That Councillor Rodell be elected as Vice-Chairman for the meeting.

546 Public Questions/Statement Time:

Mrs S Aubrey asked the following question: "I understand there is a probability that the local Sports Centre in Amesbury could be closed to the general public later this year.

Having read some of the documents concerning the issue on Wiltshire County Council's website, I do realise the situation is complex. However, I should be interested to hear the views of our local councillors on this subject."



Awarded in:
Housing Services
Waste and Recycling Services



Councillor Noeken informed Mrs Aubrey that this matter would be discussed at a meeting of Wiltshire County Council's Cabinet on 23rd May 2006 but that at present the intention was to close the Amesbury sports centre, together with a centre in Pewsey, on 1st September 2006 unless negotiations with other public bodies were successful. However, he stated that he was not optimistic that the District Council would be in a position to take over the management of the Centre as he appreciated the District Council also faced funding pressures.

He informed Mrs Aubrey that this had become necessary as Wiltshire County Council had been placed under funding pressures from other organisations the latest such pressure being the withdrawal of funding by the PCT on 31st March leaving the County Council with an enormous deficit. In response to this situation a package of measures to make necessary savings would be submitted to the County Council's Cabinet for consideration. He informed her that this issue would also be discussed at a special meeting of the Town Council on 6th June.

Councillor Wren and Councillor West as county councillors, and Councillor Peach as a district councillor and a governor of Stonehenge School, all informed Councillor Noeken that he had their full support and they would do their utmost to resist closure of the Centre.

The Chairman asked that this issue be put on the agenda of the Northern Area Committee for the next meeting to provide an update on the situation.

547 Councillor Questions/Statement Time:

Councillor Mrs Greville informed the Committee that a recent licensing application had been submitted for a premises in Durrington and that the Parish Council had not been informed of the application. She queried whether this was an oversight or whether it was part of the process under the new licensing act.

The Chairman informed her that the query would be investigated and a written response would be provided.

548 Minutes:

Resolved – that the minutes of the ordinary meeting held on 20th April 2006 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

549 Declarations of Interest:

There were none.

550 Chairman's Announcements:

The Chairman extended congratulations on behalf of the Committee to Councillor Wren on his election as Chairman of Wiltshire County Council.

The Chairman informed all members that a special meeting of the Northern Area Committee was to be held on 4th July at 4.30pm at Amesbury Sports Centre to consider the planning application for a new visitor centre at Stonehenge.

The Chairman asked that a card be sent to Councillor Brown on behalf of the Committee wishing him a speedy recovery following his recent operation.

The Chairman also thanked John Crawford for his help and advice during his time as the Committee's legal adviser.

551 Update on Policing in the Northern Area

Inspector Lisseman-Edge gave an update to the Committee. He informed the members of the following:

- ? The detection rate in the Amesbury police force was currently 40% of all offences and this was the highest rate in the whole Wiltshire force.
- ? Violent crime had increased but that the detection rate had also increased, the detection rate for violent offences was 80%.
- ? There had been an increase in drunk and disorderly behaviour and violent crime offences since the introduction of the new licensing regime. However, the force had also been more proactive at issuing penalty notices and therefore it may be that the increased instances of recorded crime could be a result of more police action.

- ? There had been an increase in low-level anti-social behaviour offences in Durrington in recent months mainly involving young people in the area. The Police had undertaken much work with the District Council, the Parish Council and Youth Services and the number of incidents had reduced from an average of twenty-five incidents a month to an average of ten incidents a month, for the last five months. As a result the Police were looking to extend this partnership working to all parishes in the Northern Area.
- ? Amesbury Town Council and Durrington Parish Council had provided funding for Police Community Support Officers (PCSOs) and these officers were now being recruited. The current aim was to have PCSOs in all parishes in the Northern Area within the next 12-18 months.
- ? Approximately 300 packs had been distributed which informed people in the community of how to monitor, record and report anti-social behaviour but, to date, no log sheets from the packs had been returned.

The members were aware that Inspector Lisseman-Edge was moving to a new position in Kennet in the near future and therefore the Committee thanked him for all his hard work over the last twelve months and stated that he had made a real difference to the area during his time in Amesbury. Inspector Lisseman-Edge stated that the new Inspector was keen to work with the local members and would be attending the next Northern Area Committee meeting to introduce himself to the Committee.

552 Community Planning Update for the Stonehenge Community Area

The Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Assistant Partnership Manager. The Assistant Partnership Manager gave a presentation to the Committee outlining the key points.

During the Community Planning Update presentation the key points raised were:

- ? In relation to action 244 (Need for new footpaths and cycle ways) – the County Council would not consider providing recreational footpaths without third party funding and any footpaths in the Stonehenge World Heritage Site were a matter for the National Trust rather than the County Council. Where there is a need for new footpaths and cycleways associated with new development and / or that meet LTP objectives, then WCC would engage in the process.
- ? In relation to action 244a – there is little chance of the footpath from Bulford to Solstice Park being achieved in the short term. The developer funding from Solstice Park is to provide regular bus services through the site and to promote the travel plan. However, there would be some pedestrian improvements around the site resulting from the staff travel plan required for the site.
- ? In relation to action 266 – The Structure Plan Policy T12(5) supports the construction of new stations at Wilton and Porton. Whilst there was no specific reference to the opening of Porton Railway Station in the Regional Spatial Strategy, the Strategy does support infrastructure improvements in general terms.

During the debate that followed the key points raised were:

- Given the substantial investment required, it was highly unlikely that any progress towards the reopening of Porton Station would be made. In addition local people did not want the station reopened as it would be likely to generate a large volume of additional traffic through the village. Therefore this should be removed as an aim of the Community Plan and from District Council policy at the earliest opportunity.
- The County Council were reviewing all cross-roads in the area as part of a wider review and therefore the problems at Bulford Cross Roads would be investigated then.
- Would the dog-warden be reinstated? Councillor Brady, in his capacity as Deputy Portfolio Holder, for Environment and Transport undertook to find the answer to this query for Councillor Woodbridge.
- Unless the members resisted the closure of Amesbury Sports Centre many of the aspirations and projects within the plan would become redundant as they were based around the Sports Centre.
- In relation to action 210 – the district councillors for Amesbury commented that the Town Council had contributed the funding for the initial door-step cameras and security lights for the homes of elderly and vulnerable people and that this should be recognised. Councillor West informed members that provided town and parish councils can provide solid evidence of homes where these measures were necessary, the Community Safety Partnership might be able to provide some funding.

During the Access to Services Presentation, the following points were made:

- In relation to the results from Access to Services Survey, the members noted that people in the Stonehenge Community Area commented that it was easier to access a library than to access Council offices although there was a Council office in the library. Therefore the public were not aware of the facility and it should be more heavily promoted.
- In the Access to Services Survey the members noted that 70% of people in the Stonehenge Community Area stated that they found it easy to access leisure facilities and the members considered this to be a very positive statement and wanted it highlighted to the County Council as evidence to resist the closure of Amesbury Sports centre.

Resolved – that a further update be provided in six months time.

553 Report on the First Meeting of the Royal School of Artillery Independent Advisory Panel

The Committee received an update from Councillor Peach on the first meeting of the above Panel. Councillor Peach informed members of the following:

- ? The Panel had considered its terms of reference at the first meeting.
- ? It had been established in a similar way to a school governing body.
- ? There were two other representatives of the community on the Panel, one was Mr M Richards a Chief Constable, and Canon Probert-Williams.
- ? The Panel will have approximately three meetings per year.
- ? The Panel members had been invited on several events to make them familiar with the workings of the Royal School of Artillery including a training day.

Resolved – that the update be noted.

554 Update on the Development of the CO-OP in Amesbury:

Councillor Noeken informed the Committee that there had been one or two complaints from local residents during the initial construction of the new store mainly relating to the building of a wall on a piece of land not owned by the CO-OP. He also drew members' attention to a letter from the Head of Development Services at Salisbury District Council confirming that the CO-OP intended to keep 5000 square feet of the existing store for food retail and the rest for non-food retail.

The members considered that as the construction of the new store was now underway there was no longer a need to have regular updates on the situation and that the matter would be brought to them as and when the need arose.

Resolved – that the item be removed from the agenda of the Northern Area Committee.

555 Update on the Expansion of Porton Down

Councillor Wren informed the Committee that in between the last Northern Area Committee meeting when members had considered DSTL's 18/84 application, and the Planning and Regulatory Panel held to determine the application, DSTL had gone to great lengths to address the concerns raised by the Northern Area Committee. This had meant that the Planning and Regulatory Panel were content to raise no objections to the application.

Councillor Wren stated that some fine tuning was still being undertaken in relation to the plan for the development and that he would be monitoring the situation carefully.

In response to a member question the Principal Planning Officer informed members that he had recently attended a meeting at Porton Down to discuss the masterplan for the entire site and that Salisbury District Council had made it clear that no major planning applications on the site would be accepted until the masterplan was in place. He explained that the masterplan was still in the early stages of development but that it would be brought to the Committee for consultation before it was adopted.

Resolved – that the item be removed from the agenda of the Northern Area Committee.

556 S/2006/0443 – New Dwelling and Access at Land Adjacent to Ingle Nook, Wyllye Road, Hanging Langford, Salisbury, SP3 4NW For Nigel Lilley:

Mr Lilley, the agent for the applicant, spoke in support of the above application.

Mrs G Heyler, on behalf of Steeple Langford Parish Council, informed the Committee that the Parish Council supported the above application.

Further to the receipt of these statements and further to a site visit held earlier that day, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services.

Resolved –

1. That subject to the applicant and any other relevant parties entering into a section 106 of the principal act relating to the provision of public recreation open space then the above application be approved for the following reasons:

- (1) This application has been considered against the relevant Salisbury District Local Plan policies. The proposed development is not considered to be a cramped form of development and is appropriate to the street scene and in keeping with the surrounding area.

And subject to the following conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. As amended by section 5(1) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004

2. Before development is commenced, a schedule of materials and finishes, and, where so required by the Local Planning Authority, samples of such materials and finishes, to be used for the external wall[s] and roof[s] of the proposed development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. A sample panel of the external wall to show the proportions of flint block, stone, chalk block, brickwork and mortar shall be constructed on the site and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To secure a harmonious form of development.

3. No development shall commence until a method statement detailing the potential risks and measures to limit these risks of pollution during and after construction works to the river system has been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason: To ensure that the nature conservation interests of the SSSI/SAC are safeguarded.

4. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, or the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (or any Order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification), there shall be no additional windows at first floor on the east and west side elevations and roof slopes of the dwelling other than those hereby permitted.

Reason: To secure adequate standards of privacy for the occupants of neighbouring premises

5. Notwithstanding the provisions of Class[es] A-E of Schedule 2 (Part 1) to the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any Order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification), there shall be no extensions to the dwelling(s) nor the erection of any structures within the curtilage unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority upon submission of a planning application in that behalf.

Reason: To ensure that the Local Planning Authority retains control over any future extensions in the interests of residential amenity, the conservation area and setting of the adjacent listed building.

6. The development shall not commence until detailed drawings showing the elevation of the external doors (at a scale of 1:10); detailed drawings of the chimney (at a scale of 1:10); the elevations, horizontal and vertical sections of the

windows and rooflights (at a scale of 1:5) of the dwelling hereby permitted have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be completed in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the dwelling is appropriately detailed due to its location within the Conservation Area and proximity to the Grade II listed Peartree Cottage.

7. The construction work on the dwelling hereby permitted shall not be commenced until the visibility splays shown on the submitted drawing number Phl/pr/01 have been provided with no obstruction at or above a height of 1.0 metre above the nearside carriageway level. The visibility splays shall thereafter be maintained free from obstruction at all times.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety

8. Before the dwelling hereby approved is first occupied, a properly consolidated and surfaced access (not loose stone or gravel) shall be constructed over the first 5 metres of the access, details of which shall have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority prior to development commencing on the site.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

9. Before the dwelling hereby approved is first occupied, provision shall be made within the site for the disposal of surface water so as to prevent its discharge onto the highway, details of which shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to development commencing on the site.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

10. If any sign of protected species or protected species themselves are found during the works hereby permitted, work should stop immediately and English Nature be contacted immediately for further advice.

Reason: To safeguard protected species.

11. No development approved by this permission shall commence until a scheme for water efficiency has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the agreed scheme.

Reason: In the interests of sustainable development. Salisbury District Council's Supplementary Planning Guidance on "Achieving Sustainable Development" promotes the prudent use of natural resources. It is necessary to minimise the local demand for water to protect future supplies.

12. The rainwater goods on the development hereby permitted shall be of cast iron or aluminium. Details of the colour and profile of the rainwater goods shall be submitted to and approved in writing prior to development commencing on site. Development shall be completed in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason: To ensure that the dwelling is appropriately detailed due to its location within the Conservation Area and proximity to the Grade II listed Peartree Cottage.

13. The external doors and windows of the development hereby permitted shall be of timber painted in a finish to be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, prior to development commencing on site. The development shall be completed in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the dwelling is appropriately detailed due to its location within the Conservation Area and proximity to the Grade II listed Peartree Cottage.

14. The development must not commence until a statement of all relevant details of tree protection has been submitted to the Local Planning Authority and approved in writing. The statement must include any necessary fencing in accordance with the

relevant British Standard (Guide for Trees in relation to Construction, BS 5837:2005). It must include any other means needed to ensure that all the trees to be retained will not be harmed during the development, including damage to their roots. The trees must be protected in accordance with the agreed statement throughout the period of development, unless the Local Planning Authority has given its prior written consent to any variation

Reason: To comply with the duties indicated in Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act, so as to ensure that the amenity value of the most important trees, shrubs and hedges growing within or adjacent to the site is adequately protected during the period of site clearance and construction.

15. The finished floor level of the proposed dwelling shall be in accordance with details to be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority before development is commenced.

Reason: To ensure that the development is subject to minimum risk of flooding as the site lies partly within Flood Zone 3 (the 1 in 100 year indicative floodplain) of the River Wylde.

16. No development shall take place until details of the boundary treatments of the site have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Any tree screening, hedges, walls or fences thus approved shall be planted/erected prior to the occupation of the dwelling hereby approved.

2. That the applicant be informed of the following:

(1) Wessex Water Authority

The development is located within a foul sewer area. It will be necessary for the developer to agree a point of connection onto the system for the satisfactory disposal of surface water to soakaway. It is advised that your council should be satisfied with any arrangement for the disposal of surface water from the proposal. According to our records there is a public water main and foul sewer near the site. Wessex Water normally requires a minimum 3 metre easement width on either side of its apparatus for the purpose of maintenance and repair. Diversion or protection works may need to be agreed.

With respect to water supply, there are water mains within the vicinity of the proposal. It is recommended that the developer should agree with Wessex Water, prior to the commencement of any works on site a connection onto Wessex Water infrastructure.

(2) Protected Species

Certain species are protected under Part 1 of Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and others are protected under the Habitats Regulations. Some are protected under their own legislation. The developer must conform to any statutory species protection provisions affecting the site.

(3) WATER EFFICIENCY

The development should include water efficient appliances, fittings and systems in order to contribute to reduced water demand in the area. These should include as a minimum, low-flush toilets, water butts, spray taps, low flow showers, and kitchen appliances with the maximum water efficiency rating.

(4) Flood Risk

The Environment Agency has recommended that the finished ground floor level is set at or above the entrance hall of Peartree Cottage.

(5) S106 AGREEMENT

This permission shall be read in conjunction with the Section 106 agreement, which is applicable to this application, in terms of its restrictions, regulations or provisions.

557 S/2006/0742– Bridge to Provide Vehicular Access to Existing Cottage at Manor Cottage, Cholderton, Salisbury, SP4 0DN For Ellis Finnis Consultants

Mrs D Clarke, a neighbour, spoke in objection to the above application.

Mrs Walters, the applicant, spoke in support of the above application.

Mr Stoddard, on behalf of Cholderton Parish Meeting, informed the Committee that the Parish Meeting had no objection to the above application.

Further to the receipt of these statements, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services together with the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

Resolved –

1. That the above application be approved for the following reasons:

(1) Bridges are a feature of the Cholderton Conservation Area and it is considered that the form, scale and design of proposed bridge will respect the character of the Conservation area. Subject to conditions, it is considered that the proposal will not have an adverse impact to highway safety, protected species, nature conservation interests of the SSSI/SAC or increased risk of flooding to other land/properties.

And subject to the following conditions:-

(1) The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. As amended by section 51 (1) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

(2) This development shall be in accordance with the amended plan reference 5483/11/1. There shall be no reduction to the bridge's clear span of 4.7m or the height of the arched bridge soffit (which shall be raised to a minimum of 150mm above the current near side A338 road edge). The maximum deck thickness shall be 200mm with galvanised mild steel rails and balustrade.

Reason: To ensure that there will be no increased risk of flooding to other land/properties due to impedance of flood flows and/or undue reduction of flood storage capacity.

(3) Prior to the commencement of the development, drawings, specifications, calculations and check certificates for the abutment constructed in the existing highway (verge of the A338 – west bank of the River Bourne) must be submitted for further approval to the Local Planning Authority in consultation with Wiltshire County Council as highway authority. The development shall be completed in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason: To ensure that the abutment is robust enough to support imposed highway loading.

(4) No development shall commence until a method statement detailing the potential risks and measures to limit these risks of pollution during and after construction works to the river system has been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason: To ensure that the nature conservation interests of the SSSI/SAC are safeguarded.

(5) No development shall commence until detailed drawings at a scale of 1:20 have been submitted to show the connection of the existing concrete post and steel rail fence running parallel to the A338 and the proposed bridge railings. Development shall be completed in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety and visual amenity.

(6) No development shall commence until a method statement has been submitted detailing how the disturbance to the carriageway and verge will be made good. Development shall be completed in accordance with the agreed details.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety and visual amenity.

(7) Pre work checks for protected species shall be carried out immediately prior to the commencement of works (conducted by a qualified ecologist). If any sign of protected species or protected species themselves are found during the pre-work check or during the works hereby permitted, work should stop immediately and English Nature be contacted immediately for further advice.

Reason: To safeguard protected species.

(8) All excavations associated with this development shall take place between the period 01 August to 14 October inclusive to avoid the potential disturbance to and avoid any danger of damaging or disturbing subterranean 'nests' of protected species.

Reason: To safeguard protected species.

2. That the applicant be informed of the following:

- b. That this decision has been taken in accordance with the following policy/policies of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan: G2 (General), CN8 (development within Conservation Areas), G4 (flooding), C10 (development affecting SSSI/SAC), C12 (Development affecting protected species), C6 (Special Landscape Area)
- c. Environment Agency

The Environment Agency does not accept any liability for the assumptions contained in the FRA. This letter does not constitute approval of those calculations nor does it constitute the Environment Agency's consent or approval that may be required under any other statutory provision, byelaw, order or regulation.

Flood risk cannot be eliminated and is expected to increase over time as a result of climate change and this letter does not absolve the developer of their responsibility to ensure a safe development.

Under the terms of the Water Resources Act 1991 and the Land Drainage Byelaws, the prior written consent of the Agency is required for any proposed works or structures in, under, over or within 8 metres of the top of the bank of the river Bourne, designated a 'main river'. The developer is advised to contact their Development Control section at Blandford Forum on 01258 456 080.

- d. Footpath 5 Cholderton- The east bank of the Bourne support Footpath 5 Cholderton, it is possible that this footpath will need to be temporarily closed to enable the east abutment to be constructed. The applicant is advised to contact Tony Higgins (01722 744 440) the area's New Roads & Street Works coordinator to discuss this aspect of the work.
- e. Protected Species - Certain species are protected under Part 1 of Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and others are protected under the Habitats Regulations. Some are protected under their own legislation. The developer must conform to any statutory species protection provisions affecting the site.

558 S/2005/1893– Proposed Erection of 5 Dwellings, Demolition of 2 Dwellings and Farm Buildings Plus Associated Works Including On Site Drainage, Open Space, Removal of Hardstanding at Church Farm, Great Durnford, Salisbury, SP4 6AZ For Pegasus Planning Group

Mr March, a neighbour, spoke in objection to the above application.

Mr Lyons, the architect for the applicant, spoke in support of the above application.

Mr Langdon, on behalf of Durnford Parish Council, informed the Committee that the Parish Council objected to the above application.

Further to the receipt of these statements and further to the site visit held earlier that day, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services together with the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

Resolved – That the above application be deferred to allow more time for the applicant to negotiate with the planning officer regarding an amended location for the car parking area opposite the row of terraced houses.

- 559 S/2005/1894– Conservation Area Consent to Demolish Farm Buildings and Two Existing Dwellings at Church Farm, Great Durnford, Salisbury, SP4 6AZ For Pegasus Planning Group**
The Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services.

Resolved – That the above application be deferred to allow more time for the applicant to negotiate with the planning officer regarding an amended location for the car parking area opposite the row of terraced houses.

560 Urgent Item - Exempt Business

Although the following matters did not appear on the previously circulated agenda, the Chairman decided that they be considered as matters of urgency since the subject matters were of a time sensitive nature.

Resolved - That in view of the confidential or sensitive nature of the matters to be considered, the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of agenda item 13 on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined within Part 1 of Schedule 12A inserted into the Local Government Act, 1972, by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006, and more particularly specified below:-

- | | |
|--------------------|--|
| Paragraph 1 | Information relating to any individual |
| Paragraph 3 | Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information). |
| Paragraph 5 | Information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings |

The public interest was considered and it was felt that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighed the public interest in disclosing it.

Summary of 'exempt' matters

- | | |
|------------------------------|---|
| <i>Agenda Item 13</i> | <i>Update on the Enforcement Proceedings In Respect of Land at the Headlands, Downton.</i>

<i>Pre-application Advice in Relation to Planning Applications in the Northern Area</i> |
|------------------------------|---|

*The meeting concluded at 19:25
Members of the public present: 15*